

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,222	02/13/2002	Travis J. Parry	10011315-1	8729
7590 01/04/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			MCCLELLAN, JAMES S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/075,222	Applicant(s) PARRY, TRAVIS J.				
10/075 222	PARRY, TRAVIS J.				
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit				
James S McClellan	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the cover sheet wit	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(\$ THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 19. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pro	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Amendment

1. Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on October 12, 2004, wherein:

claims 1-13 are pending and

claim 13 has been added.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,216,113 (Aikens) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,782,495 (Bernklau-Halvor).

Regarding **claim 1**, Aikens discloses a system for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a machine (printer, fax or other device, see column 2, lines 64-65), said system comprising: memory means for providing an accounting database (17 and 18A;see column 2, lines 28-34); controller means for processing information stored by and retried from said database (14, see column 26-27); network means (24; see column 2, lines 44-46) for coupling said machine to said database (17) via said controller (14); interface means (40; see paragraph bridging columns 2-3) coupled to said database (17) via said network (24) for effecting communication therewith, said interface means (40) including a web server (14) for

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

transmitting and receiving said information to and from said database (17); [claim 3] said machine is a printing machine (11, see column 2, lines 64-65); [claim 4] means disposed at said machine for transmitting information to said database (17) via said controller relating to usage of said machine in connection with a predetermined job (see column 4, lines 43-53); [claim 5] including an authentication database (see column 2, lines 40-42) operationally coupled to said controller (14); [claim 6] said user interface (40) is housed within said machine (see paragraph bridging columns 2-3); and [claim 7] said user interface (40) is physically separate from said machine (see paragraph bridging columns 2-3).

Regarding **claim 11**, Aiken discloses a system for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a copy, print, or facsimile machine as set forth above in detail for claim 1.

Regarding claim 12, Aiken discloses a method for remotely providing cost accounting with respect to the usage of a machine as set forth above in detail for claim 1.

Regarding claims 1, 11, and 12, Aiken fails to explicitly disclose the use of an embedded web server for use over the Internet or an intranet.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

Bernklau-Halvor teaches the use of a printing device that communicates over a network (intranet or Internet; see column 3, lines 18-22) via an embedded web server (45; see column 6, lines 16-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with an embedded web server as taught by Bernklau-Halvor, because the use of an embedded web server as opposed to a remote server allows for more efficient communication across the network.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiken in view of Bernklau-Havlor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,872,282 (Long).

Aiken in combination with Bernklau-Havlor disclose all the claimed elements as set forth above but fail to explicitly disclose the use of the system in conjunction with a copy machine. However, Aiken notes that the machine used in the billing system can be various devices including a printer, fax or other device (see column 2, lines 64-65).

Long teaches the use of a copy machine that employs cost control.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with an analogous device (copy device) as taught by Long, because it is advantageous for businesses to account for all expenses, including copy services.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aiken in view of Bernklau-Havlor as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Aiken fails to explicitly disclose the use of communication over a wireless network.

Art Unit: 3627

The Examiner takes Official Notice that network communication over a wireless device was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Aiken with network communication over a wireless device interface as was well known in the art, because using wireless devices allow the user greater mobility.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 29, 20044 have been fully considered but they are 6. not fully persuasive.

Based on Applicant's arguments, the Examiner relies upon a new rejection that clearly discloses an embedded web server.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

Application/Control Number: 10/075,222

Art Unit: 3627

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627 Page 6

jsm December 29, 2004